

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : 10/627884 Examiner : Padgett GAU : 1762  
 From : CA Location : IDC FMF FDC Date : 7/18/05

Tracking # : 611 5641 Week Date : 6/13/05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: The continuing data in the specification disagreed with bibsheet when comparing the relationship between serial numbers 09/528,885 & ~~09/681~~ 10/346,560. Also ADS seems to show all serial numbers are CIP's of the present application. Please resolve. Thank You

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: No disagreement in dates was found, but the specification [0001] has been updated to show abandonment of 10/346,560, and the bibsheet has been modified to change "and" in line 3 of continuing data to -- which --. Also as 09/952,649 has since issued as PN: 6,913,776, this has also been updated on bibsheet and in [0001] of spec. The specification & bibsheet agree that all cases are CIP of the present case, but the phrasing can be written more clearly, and is being so modified so as to avoid any future confusion.

INITIALS: Myx

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.  
 REV 10/04